## AMS 274 – Generalized Linear Models (Fall 2018)

Homework 3 (due Tuesday November 13)

1. The following table reports results from a toxicological experiment, including the number of beetles killed  $(y_i)$  after 5 hours exposure to gaseous carbon disulphide at various concentrations. Concentration (log dose,  $x_i$ ) is given on the  $\log_{10}$  scale.

Log Dose, $x_i$	Number of beetles, $m_i$	Number killed, $y_i$
1.6907	59	6
1.7242	60	13
1.7552	62	18
1.7842	56	28
1.8113	63	52
1.8369	59	53
1.8610	62	61
1.8839	60	60

Consider a binomial response distribution, and assume that the  $y_i$  are independent realizations from Bin $(m_i, \pi_i)$ , i = 1,...,n. The objective is to study the effect of the choice of link function  $g(\cdot)$ , where  $\pi_i = g^{-1}(\eta_i) = g^{-1}(\beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i)$ .

- (a) Using R, fit 3 binomial GLMs to these data corresponding to 3 link functions, logit, probit and complementary log-log. Perform residual analysis for each model, using the deviance residuals. Obtain fitted values,  $\hat{\pi}_i$ , under each model and compare with observed proportions,  $y_i/m_i$ . Obtain the estimated dose-response curve under each model by evaluating  $\hat{\pi}(x) = g^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x)$  over a grid of values x for log dose in the interval (1.65, 1.9). Plot these curves and compare with the scatter plot of the observed  $x_i$  plotted against the observed proportions. Based on all the results above, discuss the fit of the different models.
- (b) One of the more general (parametric) link functions for binomial GLMs that has been suggested in the literature is defined through

$$g_{\alpha}^{-1}(\eta_i) = \frac{\exp(\alpha \eta_i)}{\{1 + \exp(\eta_i)\}^{\alpha}} \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0.$$
 (1.1)

Note that the logit link arises as a special case of (1.1), when  $\alpha = 1$ . Discuss the effect of the additional model parameter  $\alpha$ , in particular, for values  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\alpha > 1$ . Provide the expression for the log-likelihood for  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\alpha$  under the link in (1.1), and discuss the complications that arise for maximum likelihood estimation under this more general model compared with the logit GLM. (You do not need to fit the model, estimates are given below.)

- (c) The MLEs under the model with link given in (1.1) are  $\hat{\beta}_1 = -113.625$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_2 = 62.5$  and  $\hat{\alpha} = 0.279$ . (The MLEs can be obtained using the Newton-Raphson method.) Using these estimates, obtain the fitted values  $\hat{\pi}_i$  and the estimated dose-response curve under the link (1.1). Compare with the corresponding results under the 3 models in part (a). Obtain the deviance residuals from the model with link (1.1) and analyze them graphically.
- (d) Compute the AIC and BIC for the 4 models considered above to compare them.

- 2. This problem involves Bayesian analysis of the beetle mortality data from the previous problem.
  - (a) Consider a Bayesian binomial GLM with a complementary log-log link, i.e., assume that, given  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , the  $y_i$  are independent from Bin $(m_i, \pi(x_i))$ , i = 1,...,8, where

$$\pi(x) \equiv \pi(x; \beta_1, \beta_2) = 1 - \exp\{-\exp(\beta_1 + \beta_2 x)\}.$$

Design and implement an MCMC method to sample from the posterior distribution of  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ . Study the effect of the prior for  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ , for example, consider a flat prior as well as (independent) normal priors. Under the flat prior, obtain the posterior distribution for the median lethal dose, LD<sub>50</sub>, that is, the dose level at which the probability of response is 0.5. Finally, plot point and interval estimates for the dose-response curve  $\pi(x)$  (over a grid of values x for log dose).

(b) Next, consider a binomial GLM with a logit link, i.e., now the  $y_i$  are assumed independent, given  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , from Bin $(m_i, \pi(x_i))$ , i = 1,...,8, where

$$\pi(x) \equiv \pi(x; \beta_1, \beta_2) = \exp(\beta_1 + \beta_2 x) / \{1 + \exp(\beta_1 + \beta_2 x)\}.$$

Working with a flat prior for  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ , obtain MCMC samples from the posterior distributions for  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , and for LD<sub>50</sub>, along with point and interval estimates for the dose-response curve  $\pi(x)$ .

- (c) As a third model, consider the binomial GLM with the parametric link given in (1.1). Develop an MCMC method to sample from the posterior distribution of  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha)$ , and obtain the posterior distribution for LD<sub>50</sub>, and point and interval estimates for  $\pi(x)$ .
- (d) Use the results from parts (a), (b) and (c) for an empirical comparison of the three Bayesian binomial GLMs. Moreover, perform a residual analysis for each model using the *Bayesian residuals*:  $(y_i/m_i) \pi(x_i; \beta_1, \beta_2)$  for the first two models, and  $(y_i/m_i) \pi(x_i; \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha)$  for the third. Finally, use the *quadratic loss L measure* for formal comparison of the three models.
- 3. Consider the inverse Gaussian distribution with density function

$$f(y \mid \mu, \phi) = (2\pi\phi y^3)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\phi\mu^2 y}\right\}, \quad y > 0; \quad \mu > 0, \phi > 0.$$

Denote the inverse Gaussian distribution with parameters  $\mu$  and  $\phi$  by  $IG(\mu, \phi)$ .

- (a) Show that the inverse Gaussian distribution is a member of the exponential dispersion family. Show that  $\mu$  is the mean of the distribution and obtain the variance function.
- (b) Consider a GLM with random component defined by the inverse Gaussian distribution. That is, assume that  $y_i$  are realizations of independent random variables  $Y_i$  with  $IG(\mu_i, \phi)$  distributions, for i = 1,...,n. Here,  $g(\mu_i) = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_p)$  (p < n) is the vector of regression coefficients, and  $\boldsymbol{x}_i = (x_{i1}, ..., x_{ip})^T$  is the covariate vector for the *i*th response, i = 1,...,n. Define the full model so that the  $y_i$  are realizations of independent  $IG(\mu_i, \phi)$  distributed random variables  $Y_i$ , with a distinct  $\mu_i$  for each  $y_i$ . Obtain the scaled deviance for the comparison of the full model with the inverse Gaussian GLM.